CD-1a immunoexpression in oral lichen planus and oral lichenoid reaction
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Abstract

Introduction: Oral lichen planus (OLP) is an immunologically mediated mucocutaneous diseases. Langerhans cells (LCs) are antigen presenting cells resident within oral mucosa which, together with intraepithelial lymphocytes, play a role in mucosal defense. The aim of present study was to determine the immunohistochemical expression of LC in Oral Lichenoid reaction (OLR) and OLP compared with normal oral mucosa (NOM).

Materials & Methods: In this cross-sectional study, 61 oral mucosal specimens diagnosed histopathologically as OLP (n=31) and OLR (n=30) and 11 NOM were used to study the immunohistochemical expression of CD1a. The CD1a positive LCs in three regions (basal, suprabasal, and connective tissue) were counted in six randomly selected fields. Data were analyzed using the SPSS 24, T-test, ANOVA, LSD, Games-Howell tests. P<.05 was considered significant.

Results: CD1a expression in OLP and OLR was significantly higher compared to NOM (P<0.001). CD1a expression in OLP was also significantly higher than OLR (P=0.009). There was a statistically significant difference between the three groups in basal, suprabasal and connective tissue regions (P<0.001). The mean of CD1a positive cells in the basal, suprabasal and connective tissue regions was significantly higher in the OLP group than OLR and NOM. Also, in comparison between OLR and NOM, the OLR group had significantly more CD1a positive cells (P<0.05).

Conclusion: Increasing the number of CD1a in OLP and OLR compared with the NOM indicates the role of LC in the pathogenesis of these diseases.
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CD-1a expression in OLP and OLR lesions

Introduction

Oral lichenoid reaction (OLR) is clinically and histopathologically similar to oral lichen planus (OLP); [1-9] Pathogenesis of OLP and OLR is unclear. Most studies support an immunologically-mediated etiopathogenesis. [1,3,5,7,10,11] Attention has been paid to epithelial antigen presenting cells and mast cells and induction of lymphocytic response in the underlying connective tissue by these cells. [1,5,7,10-15] Langerhans cells (LC) are dendritic cells of the skin and present in all layers of the epidermis and are most prominent in the stratum spinosum. They are also present in oral epithelium. [8,10-19] Langerhans cells (LCs) appear to play a role in the pathogenesis of oral lichenoid reactions. [1,3,5,7,10-15] The presence of CD-1a on LCs in OLR has been reported; however, the expression of CD-1a in OLP has not been fully investigated. [1,3,5,7,10-15] Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the expression of CD-1a in the lesions of OLP and OLR.

Materials and Methods

In this study, 11 OLP and 11 OLR lesions were included. Mucosal biopsies were obtained from each lesion and fixed in formaldehyde. After embedding in paraffin, 4-μm-thick sections were prepared and mounted on glass slides. Immunohistochemical staining was performed using the avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex (ABC) method with anti-CD-1a antibody (1:100 dilution, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA). The positive control was an antigen-presenting cell line (B lymphoma), and the negative control was a section from a normal oral mucosa, which did not show CD-1a expression.

Results

The expression of CD-1a was significantly higher in OLR than in OLP (P<0.001). The percentage of CD-1a-positive cells was 85% in OLR and 42% in OLP. The difference was statistically significant (P<0.001).

Discussion

The presence of CD-1a on LCs in OLR suggests a role for these cells in the pathogenesis of this condition. Further studies are needed to clarify the role of CD-1a in OLP.

Conclusion

The expression of CD-1a is higher in OLR than in OLP. This difference suggests a role for CD-1a in the pathogenesis of OLR.
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and an important role in OLP pathogenesis through presenting of antigens to T lymphocytes. Some studies suggest a change in the number of Langerhans cells in OLP which can confirm the role of LCs in the etiopathogenesis of the OLP.

The similar clinical picture of the OLP and OLR probably indicates similarities in immune responses between the two diseases and it is hypothesized that the pathogenesis of these two conditions should be somewhat similar. CD1a is a membrane surface glycoprotein that has good specificity for Langerhans cells. Its expression in OLP and OLR has been studied in some research which has been associated with contradictory results. Therefore, present study was aimed to determine the immunohistochemical expression of CD1a (for detection of LCs) in OLP and OLR and their subtypes (atrophic-erosive and reticular subtypes) and to compare it with normal oral mucosa (NOM).

Materials & Methods

Samples: This cross-sectional analytical study was approved by ethical committee of Babol University of Medical sciences (Code of ethics: IR.MUBABOL.REC.1397.010). Thirty one formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue blocks of OLP samples (16 cases of atrophic –erosive subtype and 15 cases of reticular subtype) and 30 FFPE OLR samples were retrieved from archive of oral and maxillofacial pathology department of Babol dental school. Also, 11 NOM samples (as control group) were included in the study (these consisted of gingival tissues with minimal clinical and histopathological inflammation belong to patients undergoing surgical extraction of impacted third molar tooth or dental implants surgery). The criteria for diagnosis of OLP and OLR was based on world health organization (WHO) defining criteria. Hematoxylin and eosin stained slides were re-evaluated to confirm the diagnosis; Samples which had been misdiagnosed were excluded from the study. Also cases with inadequate epithelium were excluded.

Table 1. Age and gender distribution in the studied groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Age (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oral lichen planus</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>44.67±14.00</td>
<td>0.314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral lichenoid reaction</td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>47.00±10.26</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal oral mucosa</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>45.00±13.44</td>
<td>0.616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>72</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51.08±15.50</td>
<td>0.128</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Immunohistochemicalstaining:

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed using a standard streptavidin-biotin protocol.

Sections were incubated with primary antibodies (clone 010, 1:80 dilution; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) for 1 hour at room temperature. The slides were incubated with secondary antibodies conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP) (LSAB®+ system- HRP, Mouse/Rabbit; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) for 30 minutes at 37°C. Human skin was used as positive control. Negative controls were used by omitting primary antibody. Histopathologic examinations were performed using Olympus BX41 light microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). langerhans cells stained brown and had a nucleus with clear boundaries and well-formed dendrites. The CD1a+ Langerhans cells were counted manually at six randomly selected high power fields (HPFs). The number of CD1a+ LCs in each sample was calculated as the mean number of positive cells per HPF.

In three studied groups, CD1a+ cells were counted in three regions: basal, suprabasal, and connective tissue. Data were analyzed using the SPSS version 24 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA) and T-test, ANOVA, LSD, Games-Howell statistical tests. P-value <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

In this research, study sample consisted of 72 tissue samples including 31 OLP samples (16 reticular forms and 15 atrophic-erosive forms), 30 OLR samples, and 11 NOM samples (as control group) (Table 1). Significant difference were found between CD1a+ cells in three studied groups (P<0.001). (Figure 1).

According to Post hoc Games-Howell test, the number of CD1a+ cells in OLP was significantly higher than control and OLR (P<0.001 and P=0.009, respectively). There was also a statistically significant difference in the mean CD1a+ cells between OLR and control group (P<0.001) (Table 2). Table 3 shows mean number of CD-1a+ cells of basal, suprabasal and connective tissue regions in three studied groups.
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Figure 1. Immunohistochemical expression of CD1a. A) Normal oral mucosa, B) Erosive Oral lichen planus, C) Reticular Oral lichen planus, and D) Oral lichenoid reaction

Table 2. Comparison of the mean number of CD-1a+ cells in three studied groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean (±Standard deviation)</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OLP</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6.375 (±4.769)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>35.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLR</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4.484 (±3.745)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>24.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOM</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.160 (±1.390)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OLP, Oral lichen planus; OLR, Oral lichenoid reaction; NOM, Normal Oral Mucosa; n, Number

Table 3. Comparison of the mean number of CD-1a+ cells of basal, suprabasal and connective tissue regions in three studied groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>OLP Mean (±Standard Error)</th>
<th>OLR Mean (±Standard Error)</th>
<th>NOM Mean (±Standard Error)</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basal Region</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.887 (±0.585)*</td>
<td>4.100 (±0.503)$</td>
<td>1.227 (±0.348)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suprabasal Region</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.358 (±1.106)**</td>
<td>6.696 (±0.824)$</td>
<td>1.963 (±0.529)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connective Tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.880 (±0.413)***</td>
<td>2.656 (±0.462)$</td>
<td>0.290 (±0.142)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Value</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OLP, Oral lichen planus; OLR, Oral lichenoid reaction; NOM, Normal Oral Mucosa

*There was a statistically significant difference (P = 0.016) between OLP and OLR groups in the basal region.

**There was a statistically significant difference (P = 0.043) between OLP and OLR groups in the suprabasal region.

*** There was a statistically significant difference (P = 0.037) between OLP and OLR groups in the connective tissue region.

$ There was a statistically significant difference (P <0.001) between OLP and NOM in basal, suprabasal and connective tissue regions, and between OLR and NOM in the connective tissue region.

§There was a statistically significant difference (P = 0.005) between OLR and NOM in the basal region.

¶ There was a statistically significant difference (P = 0.010) between OLR and NOM in the suprabasal region.
There was a statistically significant difference between the three studied groups in basal, suprabasal and connective tissue regions. The highest mean of CD1a+ cells was found in the suprabasal region of the OLP group and the lowest in connective tissue region of NOM. Graph 1 shows comparison of the mean number of CD1a+ cells between reticular subtype of OLP, atrophic-erosive subtype of OLP, OLR and NOM groups. Significant differences were observed in three regions of the four above-mentioned groups. P-values were <0.001 in basal and connective tissue regions, and 0.001 in suprabasal region, respectively (Graph 1).

Graph 1. Comparison of the number of CD-1a expressing cells between four groups in three regions

*There was a statistically significant difference (P = 0.001) between erosive subtype of OLP and reticular subtype of OLP compared to NOM in the basal region.

¥There was a statistically significant difference (P = 0.013) between reticular subtype of OLP and OLR in the basal region.

€There was a statistically significant difference (P = 0.005) between OLR and NOM in the basal region.

§§ There was a statistically significant difference between erosive subtype of OLP and NOM (P = 0.003) in the suprabasal region.

** There was a statistically significant difference between reticular subtype of OLP and OLR (P = 0.018) in the suprabasal region.

€There was a statistically significant difference between reticular subtype of OLP and NOM (P <0.001) in the suprabasal region.

♦ There was a significant difference between OLR and NOM groups (P = 0.009) in the suprabasal region.

¶ There was a statistically significant difference (P = 0.1010) between reticular subtype of OLP and OLR in the connective tissue region.

& There was a statistically significant difference between erosive subtype of OLP, reticular subtype of OLP and OLR in comparison with NOM (P = 0.001, P <0.001, P = 0.004) in connective tissue region.
Discussion

We evaluated CD1a expression in OLP (reticular and atrophic-erosive subtypes), OLR and NOM. The mean number of CD1a+ cells in OLP was significantly higher than OLR and NOM. OLR also expressed a higher CD1a+ cells than NOM. In comparison between different regions of OLP and OLR, it was found that the suprabasal region had more CD1a+ cells than basal and connective tissue regions. Despite the higher number of CD1a+ cells in the basal region compared to the connective tissue region, the difference was not significant. There was a significant difference between the suprabasal region and the connective tissue in the NOM group.

It has been reported that epithelial region has more CD1a+ cells compared with the connective tissue region. [11,17,23,29,31,34,37]

Some studies indicated that there was no significant difference between the number of CD1a+ cells in OLP compared to control group. [15, 18, 38] One study showed that CD1a+ cells in the epithelium of the OLP decreased compared to the control group. [30] The reason for this discrepancy in the results can be related to the location of lesions and different antigenic stimuli in patients admitted in various studies, including the type of food used in different geographical areas and stress and mechanical pressures within the oral environment. [16,21,39]

Souto et al. reported that the number of CD1a+ cells in the connective tissue region in the OLP group was significantly higher than the normal mucosa and amalgam lichenoid reaction (ALR). Despite the higher mean of CD1a+ cells in the epithelium of the OLP and ALR groups compared to the control group, this difference was not significant. [21] In our study, the mean number of CD1a+ cells in the connective tissue and epithelium of the OLP group was higher than OLR and NOM. Souto et al. reported that the morphology of LCs in the epithelium and connective tissue regions were different, so that LCs in the epithelium region had many dendrites, but in the connective tissue region, these cells had round shape. [21] In the present study, LCs had similar shapes to LCs in Souto et al. study.

Gueiros et al. showed that CD1a+ cells was significantly higher in lichenoid lesions (OLP and oral lichenoid lesions) than control group. [40] This is in accordance with the results of this study. In the study of Devi et al., mean number of CD1a+ cells in basal, suprabasal and connective tissue regions of the OLP group was significantly higher than the NOM group and lichenoid mucositis (LM). [26] Also in McCartan and Lamey [19], Regezi et al. [32] and Laine et al. [33] studies, the number of LCs in OLP was significantly higher than NOM group, but there was not significant difference between the different regions. Devi et al. study showed that there was not significant difference between LM and control group in the basal layer. There was no significant difference in LM and control group in connective tissue region.

They also observed that the mean of CD1a+ cells in the connective tissue region was much higher than suprabasal and basal regions. [26] Their observations are in contradictionary with the results of the present study and studies by Kulkarni et al. [23], Chou et al. [34], Pittigala-Arachi et al. [35], Hasseus et al. [11], VillarroelDorrego et al. [29], Santoro et al. [31], Gustafson et al. [37], and Mitamura et al. [40]. In this study, LCs was present in the NOM group, which was similar to other studies. [11,17,29,31,34,37,40] Eversole and Farthing et al showed that dendritic cells in OLP were present in the epithelium and connective tissue, and are probably involved in the antigen processing and antigen presenting to CD4+ T lymphocytes. [18,41]

Immature LCs in the NOM expresses CD1a molecules more than other CD1 isoforms. [23,42] Kulkarin et al. stated that the higher expression of LCs in the epithelium compared to connective tissue could be because of that langerhans cells are present in the epithelium as guardians of the immune system and are constantly processing antigens and presenting them to T lymphocytes. [23] In addition, Hasseus et al. [11], and Gustafson et al. [37] suggested that the presence of langerhans cells in the normal mucosa can better trap antigens. This increase can be due to the presence of many unknown antigens in the OLP lesions. [23] In the present study, the number of LCs in OLP and OLR groups were significantly higher than NOM group in connective tissue region. The increase in the number of LCs in the connective tissue of the OLR and OLP indicates that LCs are recruited to site of antigen entry, mature, and migrate to the lymph nodes via the connective tissue. [11,37]

In this study, the results showed that reticular subtype of OLP had more LCs than erosive subtype of OLP, OLR and NOM in all 3 regions, but this difference was not significant except in the erosive subtype of OLP. In comparison between OLR with erosive subtype of OLP, although there was a significant increase in LCs in the erosive subtype of OLP, this difference was
significant only in the basal region. In addition, LCs of erosive subtype were significantly higher than the NOM group at all three regions. Various staining techniques and counting method for detection and calculating number of LCs have been used including mean number per mm of basal layer, mean number per mm of epithelial surface, mean number per mm² of epithelium and mean number per high power field. Direct count and count method using photomicrographs can be noted. Gondak et al. also stated that the number of LCs in a normal epithelium vary greatly. [39]

In the epiderm, immature LCs have little capability for antigen presenting. [25,43,44] After antigen uptake, immature LCs are matured. So, mature LCs are antigen presenting cells (APCs) that had important role in immune response. [43,44] In T-cell mediated autoimmune diseases, autoantigens presented by dendritic cells to T lymphocytes cause activity of T lymphocytes and finally cause tissue damage. [37] In addition to autoimmunity, deregulation of LCs may occur in receptors such as CCR2, CCR6 [45], and CCR7 [30,31] or chemokines such as CCL2, CCL20, and CCL19 that are involved in LCs movements. [45] Tolerance of LCs in some areas of oral mucosa of OLP may be altered. [46, 47] Souto et al. [21] stated LCs play different role in pathogenesis of OLP and OLR. Gueiros et al. [9] stated that there was increased presence of LCs in OLR compared to NOM probably because of regulating immune response in OLR. In OLR secondary to medication, the number of LCs of epithelium are lower than OLP because direct presenting of antigens in epithelium is unlikely. [19,26]

Conclusion
The mean number of CD1a⁺ LC in OLP group was higher than OLR and NOM groups. In addition, the mean number of CD1a⁺ LC in basal, suprabasal and connective tissue regions of the reticular subtype of OLP was higher than the three regions of OLR and NOM. It seems that antigen presenting by LCs had different role in OLP compared to OLR and has more contribution to OLP pathogenesis.
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