Prevalence of mandibular accessory foramina using CBCT in a selected iranian population
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Abstract

Introduction: The aim of the current study was to evaluate the frequency of accessory foramina in human mandible.

Material & Methods: In this cross-sectional study, 400 cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) images of mandibles of 273 patients (120 males and 153 females), divided into four groups ≤30, 30–39, 40–49, and ≥50 years) with the mean age of 38.05±13.71 years (40.71±12.83 for males and 35.39±12.05 for females) were evaluated. The number and diameter of accessory foramina from midline to anterior border of ramus with 0.1 mm intervals were investigated. Data were analyzed with SPSS 17 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA) using T-Test, Pearson's correlation coefficient and Fisher exact test.

Results: Totally, 568 accessory foramina with mean diameter of 0.35±0.15 mm were seen. The frequency of accessory foramina in the studied patients was 83% with mean frequency of 88% in males and 80% in females. These results showed a significant difference between the genders in frequency of accessory foramen (P<0.001) although no difference was observed among different age groups. The highest frequency belonged to anterior lingual of mandible. The frequency of accessory foramina in posterior mandible was higher in females, while males indicated higher frequency in anterior mandible. No significant difference was found in the frequency of accessory foramina between the right and left sides of the mandible.

Conclusion: The results suggested, the survey of accessory foramina is recommended in CBCT images of mandible for therapeutic reasons due to higher frequency of accessory foramina in mandible.
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مقدمه

منبع‌های سوراخهای فرعی در فک پایین بیشتر بوده است و گوناگونی در همواره‌های سلامت، دانشگاه علوم پزشکی باهل، باهل، ایران. تفاوت‌ها در فک پایین ناشی از اختلافات در همواره‌های سلامت، دانشگاه علوم پزشکی باهل، باهل، ایران. 

شیوع سوراخهای فرعی استخوان فک پایین با استفاده از CBCT
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was no assessment of accessory foramina’s prevalence in the body of mandible [20-25], the aim of the current study was to evaluate the prevalence of accessory foramina in mandible of an Iranian population.

Materials & Methods

In the current cross-sectional study, 400 mandibular CBCT scan images of 273 patients (120 males and 153 females) referring to a private oral and maxillofacial radiology center from 2013 to 2017 were evaluated after ethical approval was given by Babol University of Medical Sciences (Mubabol.REC1396.64). Patients ranged from completely edentulous mandible to completely dentate mandible with the mean age of 38.05 years (40.71 years in male and 35.39 years in female) were categorized into 4 age groups of <30, 30–39, 40–49, and ≤50 years.

Exclusion criteria were history of bone disease and having bony lesions in the mandible such as cysts, osteosclerosis and chronic osteomyelitis, which can cause destruction and/or disappearance of buccal or lingual cortical plates or changes in density of the bone that cannot be surveyed the foramina. The CBCT images were provided by Cranex 3D (Soredex; Helsinki, Finland) with exposure parameters of 6 mA, 89 kvp, voxel size: 0.2 mm, and field of view: 6x8 cm. Using Ondemand 3D Dental software, from midline to anterior ramus of the teeth, the number and diameter of mandibular accessory foramina were assessed cross-sectionally with 0.1 mm intervals. Then, a diagnosis method was used to find the accessory foramina by looking at image slices of cross sections before and after foramina, observing the path of canal and following them from cortical bone to cancellous bone. Data were analyzed with SPSS 17 (SPSS Inc., Chicago,I1,USA) using T-Test, Pearson's correlation coefficient, and Fisher exact test. The informed consent was provided.

Results

Of 400 CBCT images evaluated in the current study, 178 and 222 were for male and female patients, respectively. The prevalence of accessory foramina in the studied patients was 83% with mean prevalence of 88% in males and 80% in females (P < 0.001). Their mean age was 38.05 years (35.39 years in females and 40.71 years in males) and the mean age of patients with accessory foramen was 39.97 years (37.36 years in females and 42.58 years in males). In addition, the patients were divided into 4 age groups. Table 1 shows the prevalence of accessory foramen in each group based on age range and gender.

Table 1. Descriptive frequency of mandibular accessory foramen in patients based on age and gender groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Groups (year)</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Without AF*</th>
<th>With AF*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥50</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* AF = Accessory Foramen

Totally, 568 accessory foramina were found in the patients: 290 (52%) and 278 (48%) belonged to male and female patients. Moreover, the mean diameter of accessory foramina in males and females was 0.36±0.16 and 0.34±0.01 mm, respectively. Table 2 illustrates the prevalence of accessory foramen in the studied patients based on gender.

Table 2. Prevalence of mandibular accessory foramen in the studied patients based on gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Without AF*</th>
<th>1AF</th>
<th>2AF</th>
<th>3AF</th>
<th>4AF</th>
<th>5AF</th>
<th>6AF</th>
<th>7AF</th>
<th>8AF</th>
<th>9AF</th>
<th>10AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* AF = Accessory Foramen

Ten accessory foramina were observed in a patient; most of them were in anterior mandibular teeth. The maximum diameter was seen in the buccal surface of premolars (1.8 mm), while the minimum diameter belonged to buccal regions of molars. Figure 1 demonstrates an accessory foramen in different regions and surfaces of mandible.
Table 3 represents the number and mean diameter of accessory foramina in different regions of mandible based on gender and location. The prevalence of accessory foramina was different between the genders (P<0.001)—in females, they were more prevalent in posterior mandibles, while more common in anterior mandibles of males (Figure 2).

**Table 3. Frequency and mean diameter of mandibular accessory foramen in the studied patients based on location and gender**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Diameters (Mean±SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buccal (Anterior)</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.33±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lingual (Anterior)</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>0.38±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buccal (Premolar)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.46±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lingual (Premolar)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.32±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buccal (Molar)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.28±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lingual (Molar)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.29±0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1. Sectional CBCT in (A) buccal surface of central (B) lingual surface of premolar (C) lingual surface of canine (D) lingual surface of molar**

**Figure 2. Prevalence of mandibular accessory foramen in the studied patients based on gender and location**

Prevalence of mandibular accessory foramina by CBCT

**Discussion**

The current study suggested that the prevalence of accessory foramen in the Iranian population was 83% and the prevalence was higher in males. Przystanska et al. evaluated the prevalence of accessory foramen in anterior lingual of mandible on 299 dry adult mandibles (regardless of gender) and suggested at least 1 accessory foramen in 288 mandibles (96%).[20] They reported foramina in the lingual surface of anterior mandible; however, we evaluated the foramina extended up to cancellous bone in CBCT in this study. Varying results as a higher prevalence can be attributed to all accessory foramina regardless of its continuity to cancellous bone.

Sisman et al. have reported the prevalence of accessory foramina in CT images 2% (in our study it was 83%).[21] This can be due to more precision of CBCT than that of CT images in evaluation of details. Like our study, the prevalence of accessory foramina was higher in males.

Gupta et al. evaluated 50 dry adult human mandibles of Indian population with magnifying glass.[22] The prevalence of accessory lingual foramen was 88%, which is the same as our results. Freire et al. [3], MurliManju et al. [23], Samanta et al. [24] and Padmavathi et al. [25] assessed the accessory foramen in the area of mandibular foramen (medial of ramus) observationally. The mean diameter of accessory foramina in males and females was 0.36±0.16 and 0.34±0.14 mm, respectively, the difference between genders was insignificant. The prevalence of accessory foramen was significantly higher in lingual of mandible than in buccal surface; this can be explained by more thickness of cortical bone on buccal border and separation of vessels and nerves on lingual surface. Generally, the prevalence of accessory foramen in posterior and anterior mandibles was higher in males and females, respectively.

In the current study, the mean diameter of accessory foramina reduced from anterior to posterior mandibular regions, and the minimum mean diameter of accessory foramina was found on buccal surface of mandibular molars. Since buccal and lingual plates were thicker in posterior regions than anterior ones, we concluded that a higher number and larger diameter of accessory foramina result from thinner buccal and lingual plates. Based on these results, the maximum number of accessory foramina in mandible was found in anterior lingual regions, which constituted 46% of total foramina observed.

**Conclusion**

Due to the repeated requests for reports on the observations of accessory foramina in mandible, anatomic variations should be studied using imaging examinations before surgical sections since the results may significantly affect the success of treatment. Results of the current study showed a remarkable prevalence of accessory foramen in a population in Iran; hence, we recommend the use of CBCT scan to identify and find the location of accessory foramina in mandibular surgeries as well as therapeutic plans and treatment procedures.
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