Evaluation of neurovascular anatomical variations in maxillary anterior region in cone beam computed tomography images
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Abstract

Introduction: Incisive foramen in the maxilla is the oral terminus of the nasopalatine canal. This region is important in implantology. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the neurovascular anatomical variations in the maxillary anterior region, observed on cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) images.

Materials & Methods: In this cross-sectional study, maxillary CBCT images from 200 patients (100 men, 100 women, average age 45.61±11.01) were evaluated in sagittal, axial and coronal sections and the following parameters were investigated: incisive canal diameter, shapes of canal, presence of accessory canal in the anterior palate and their location, thickness of buccal bone in the sections containing canal, patient’s dental and periodontal status in terms of bone loss. Data were collected and analyzed using SPSS, V20. P≤0.05 was considered significant.

Results: In sagittal view, the mean diameter of the canal was 2.36±0.69mm. Age and gender did not significantly influence the diameter. Morphological analysis of canal showed that 25% of them were hourglass-like, 23.5% conical, 22% funnel, 27.5% cylindrical, 2% tree branch. Accessory canals were observed in 58 patients (29%) specially near to the canine region. Accessory canal thickness of buccal bone plate was less in complete edentulous patients than others and also there was a significant relationship between bone loss and canal size in the hourglass and cylindrical shapes.

Conclusion: In this study, the prevalence of accessory canals was relatively significant, so it seems essential to carry out cone beam computed tomography in this area for determining the canal morphology and dimensions before implant placement.
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CBCT: بررسی تنوعات اناتومیک عروقی عصبی در ناحیه قدام فک با در تصاویر

یافته ها: در مقطع ساختمان بکار در برای CBCT با بهره بردن مطالعه تصادفی، CBCT می‌تواند در تشخیص و تشخیص دقیق کانال اینسپیزوی قدام فک باشد. در موارد که حجم بزرگ‌تر از 6 میلی‌متر وجود دارد، شکل بزرگ‌تری دارد که به‌صورت خاص در ناحیه قدام فک می‌باشد.

نتیجه‌گیری: در مطالعه حاضر، شیوع کانال‌های فرعی قدام فک می‌باشد که به‌صورت خاص در ناحیه قدام فک بوده و به‌صورت کاملاً یافته‌ای بوده و همچنین بیشتر و ضعیف برپیدا می‌شود.

واژگان کلیدی: توموگرافی CBCT، ناشناخته، فضاهای گیری

Introduction

Nasopalatine canal which starts from two foramina in the nasal cavity exists in the maxillary anterior region. Their opening site on both sides of the nasal septum is close to the anteroinferior border of the nasal cavity and each branch goes down and slightly to the anterior and medial to joint the canal in the opposite side in a common place called incisive foramen (nasopalatine). This foramen is placed in the midline palate, behind the central incisors. [1] Incisive foramen is generally seen as large or small symmetrical oval, round or heart-shaped radiolucency. This canal contains nasopalatine nerves and blood vessels. It rarely has a cortical border probably indicating the presence of nasopalatine cyst (incisive cyst). Nasopalatine nerve is a branch of the sphenopalatine ganglion that innervates to the nasal roof and septum. [2] Sometimes, a large radiolucency is seen in images, which makes it difficult to detect whether this is a large incisive foramen or a nasopalatine duct cyst. Radiolucency larger than 6mm can be considered as a cyst, which requires detailed and accurate examinations. [1] Bodin et al. proposed that the probability of cysts must be considered in lesions larger than 8 mm with bulging and thin cortical border, especially with symmetrical bulging one, and radiolucency larger than 14 mm is always considered as cysts. [3] Thus, all these studies emphasize the importance of measuring the nasopalatine canal diameter. Delay et al. [4] and Swanson et al. [5] evaluated the pathology of nasopalatine canal so that Mraiwa et al. and Mamanchan found 73% of 295 cases involving nonodontogenic tumors were nasopalatine duct cysts. Thickness of buccal bone plate and location of nasopalatine canal are major in implantology because of the importance of aesthetics, phonetics and function of the implants in the maxillary anterior region [6, 7]. In such way that the lack of accurate determination of the location and thickness of the buccal bone plate can cause the wrong placement of the implant in the canal. [8-10] This can damage the nasopalatine canal and cause some complications such as neurovascular lesions and non-osseointegrated implants. [11] Bornstein et al. evaluated the dimensions and characteristics of
nasopalatine canal and thickness of the buccal bone plate via CBCT images and they concluded that the thickness of buccal bone plate was less in people who lost both central teeth over a year than other people. \cite{12}

Etoz et al. and Liang et al. also analyzed nasopalatine canal and showed that it has different anatomical variations whose investigation using CBCT images is necessary before implant placement. \cite{13, 14}

Due to the anatomical variations of the canal and differential diagnosis of incisive foramen from pathologic lesions, there is a need to further investigation in the anterior palatal region. Therefore, this study investigated the neurovascular anatomical variations in maxillary anterior region, observed on CBCT images.

### Materials & Methods

In this cross-sectional study, 200 CBCT scans of patients referred to an oral and maxillofacial radiology center in Babol during 2014-2016 were selected and evaluated.

Inclusion criteria in this study were: 1- Patients over 18, 2- Patients with an anterior maxilla CBCT, 3- Patients without a history of maxillary surgery. Poor quality CBCT images and those with technical problems were excluded. All CBCT images were obtained using Giano (Newton, Verona, Italy) with a standardized exposure protocol at 2-10 mA and 60-90 kvp and images were displayed at different sagittal, axial and coronal views in a completely dark space on 19-inch screen (Samsung sync master sn, South Korean). Also, two oral and maxillofacial radiologists simultaneously reviewed the CBCT images and shared their opinion, and in the case of disagreement, the third observer expressed his/her opinion. CBCT images were investigated based on the information prepared in check list containing two parts: 1- demographic information such as age and sex, 2- data collected from CBCT images. The following parameters were studied in the present study: minimum and maximum diameter of the nasopalatine canal in the cross sectional view, shapes of the canal (hourglass, funnel, conical, cylindrical, tree branch), which was based on Etoz et al.'s classification (Fig.1) \cite{13}, the presence of accessory canals in the anterior palate and their location, the thickness of buccal bone plate in sections containing canal, patient's dental status (full dentate patients with 6 anterior teeth), partial edentulous patients (without any of the 6 anterior teeth), complete edentulous patients (missing 6 anterior teeth) and the periodontal status of patients in terms of bone loss (mild: loss of 1-2 mm of normal supporting bone height (or 20%), moderate: loss of 2 mm up to half of normal supporting bone height (or between 20% and 50%), severe: loss of beyond this point). \cite{1} The items such as canal size, different shapes of canal, thickness of buccal bone plate were investigated on sagittal view, dental status in panoramic view and accessory canal based on three axial, sagittal and coronal sections. To assess the periodontal status of patients, interdental bone level was measured up to the cementoenamel junction (CEJ) in the Panorex view. For measuring the canal, three measurements in the cylindrical shape (upper (max), middle (mid) and lower (min) part of the canal) and two measurements for other shapes (maximum and minimum diameter of the canal) were done (Fig.2).

Data were analyzed using Chi-square test and Fisher's exact test in SPSS20 in different age and gender groups and p≤0.05 was considered significant.

**Figure 1. Classification of nasopalatine canal shape in the sagittal section of CBCT images a. Hourglass, b. Conical, c. Funnel, d. Cylindrical, e. Tree branch**
Results

In this study, CBCT images of 200 patients (100 men and 100 women) were studied. The average age of patients was $45.61\pm11.01$ with minimum and maximum ranges of 25 and 65 years, respectively. Hourglass, conical, funnel, cylindrical and tree branch shapes were observed in 50 (25%), 47 (23.5%), 44 (22%), 55 (27.5%) and 4 cases (2%), respectively. Thus, the cylindrical shape had the highest prevalence. Out of 200 patients, 58 patients (29%) had accessory canal and totally, 61 canals were found, so three patients had two accessory canals. Accessory canals in maxillary right canine, lateral and central were respectively 7 (11.47%), 14 (22.95%), 15 (24.59%). Also Accessory canals in maxillary left canine, lateral and central were 3 (4.92%), 9 (14.75%), 13 (21.31%).

In the present study, 114 (57%), 71 (35.5%) and 15 (7.5%) of participants were full dentate, partial and complete edentulous patients, respectively. The mean diameter of the buccal bone plate was $6.14\pm1.26$ mm with a minimum and maximum of 3 and 9.1 mm, respectively. Buccal bone plate diameter in full dentate, partial and complete edentulous patients was $6.4\pm1.16, 6.26\pm1.33$ and $5.1\pm1.03$, respectively. Moreover, there was statistically a significant relationship between two above variables ($p=0.001$). The mean diameter of the nasopalatine canal was $2.36\pm0.69$ with a minimum and maximum of 0.8 and 4.1, respectively and in the majority of cases, the results showed that the canal size was significantly increased in all shapes with a reduction in the buccal bone plate thickness (all of $p<0.05$). Data related to the canal size have been reported for each shape in table 1.

**Table 1. Canal diameter for each shape**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>canal shape</th>
<th>canal diameter</th>
<th>Mean and standard deviation</th>
<th>(Minimum, maximum)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hourglass</td>
<td>*Min</td>
<td>1.52±0.5</td>
<td>1,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Max</strong></td>
<td>3.47±0.84</td>
<td>2,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conical</td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>1.12±0.56</td>
<td>1,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>3.26±0.83</td>
<td>1,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funnel</td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>1.12±0.49</td>
<td>1,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>3.13±0.74</td>
<td>2,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cylindrical</td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>2.67±0.99</td>
<td>1,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>2.66±0.92</td>
<td>1,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*<strong>Mid</strong></td>
<td>2.53±0.96</td>
<td>1,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree branch</td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Min: Minimum diameter of the canal (but in cylindrical shape indicating the diameter of lower part of canal)

**Max: Maximum diameter of the canal (but in cylindrical shape indicating the diameter of upper part of canal)

***Mid: The diameter of middle part of cylindrical canal

In addition, periodontal status (bone loss) between teeth was measured and the results are represented in table 2. The results based on Pearson correlation between bone loss and the canal size indicated that, in the majority of cases, there was a correlation between two above variables in the maximum diameter of hourglass shape between central and lateral teeth, in the left and right sides and in all parts of cylindrical shape, between left and right lateral incisors, indicating the canal size was enhanced with the increase of bone loss. (Table 3)
Table 2. Periodontal status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tooth number</th>
<th>Periodontal status</th>
<th>3, 2&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; n=139</th>
<th>2,1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; n=150</th>
<th>1,1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; n=146</th>
<th>1,2&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt; n=135</th>
<th>2,3&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt; n=138</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Without bone loss</td>
<td>(66.9%) 93</td>
<td>(60%) 90</td>
<td>(54.8%) 80</td>
<td>(48.1%) 65</td>
<td>(65.2%) 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td></td>
<td>(31.7%) 44</td>
<td>(36%) 54</td>
<td>(39%) 57</td>
<td>(48.9%) 66</td>
<td>(31.9%) 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0/7%) 1</td>
<td>(2.7%) 4</td>
<td>(5.5%) 8</td>
<td>(2.2%) 3</td>
<td>(2.9%) 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0/7%) 1</td>
<td>(1.3%) 2</td>
<td>(7%) 1</td>
<td>(0/7%) 1</td>
<td>_</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: Between right canine and lateral  
b: Between right lateral and central  
c: Between centrals  
d: Between left central and lateral  
e: Between left lateral and canine

Table 3. Correlation between bone loss and canal size for each shape

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Canal size Bone loss For each shape</th>
<th>Min(mm)</th>
<th>Max(mm)</th>
<th>Mid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hourglass 11&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.19(0.25)</td>
<td>0.32(0.047)</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.18(0.3)</td>
<td>0.38(0.032)</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conical 11&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.029(0.87)</td>
<td>0.16(0.35)</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.084(0.63)</td>
<td>0.033(0.85)</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>funnel 11&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.23(0.22)</td>
<td>0.27(0.14)</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.093(0.59)</td>
<td>0.073(0.67)</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cylindrical 11&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.029(0.87)</td>
<td>0.19(0.28)</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.11(0.54)</td>
<td>0.1(0.54)</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: Between right lateral and central  
b: Between centrals  
c: Between left central and lateral

The following results were obtained from the effect of age and gender on the canal size in different shapes: The results showed that there was a significant relationship between the gender and maximum diameter of the canal in the hourglass shape (p = 0.046), but there was no significant relationship in other shapes (P > 0.05). The results also suggested a significant relationship between age with the maximum diameter of the conical canal (p = 0.022) and cylindrical shape canals (min part, p = 0.018), while no relationship was found in other shapes.

Discussion

The present study showed that nasopalatine canal had anatomical variations, different size and morphology. According to the results of the present study, the nasopalatine canal was mostly observed in the cylindrical shape, which agrees with the findings of Liang and Thakur et al. [14,15] However, hourglass shape was the most frequent shape in the study conducted by Etoz et al. who investigated various shapes of canal. [13]

This difference can be attributed to the difference in races. Accessory canals were significantly prevalent in the anterior palatal region (29%) specially near to the canine region, which was found in the study of de Oliveira-Santos et al. (accessory canal prevalence was 27.8% near to the canines and incisors). [16]
Thus, according to these results, the existence of these canals must be considered during placing implants in this region. In this study, the mean diameter of the nasopalatine canal was 2.36±0.69 with a minimum and maximum of 0.8 and 4.1, respectively. Liang and Thakur also obtained somewhat similar result.\textsuperscript{14, 15} In this study, in the majority of cases, age and gender did not affect the canal size which is similar to the Panjnoosh et al's study.\textsuperscript{17}

But Liang et al. showed that the canal size was enhanced in men and elders.\textsuperscript{14} This difference of results may be due to the differences in the sample size. CBCT is a new technology, whose development has created fundamental changes in the dentistry field. Conventional imaging provides two-dimensional images of the three-dimensional object, whereas CBCT can provide three-dimensional images in different planes, which is cost-effectiveness and provides the possibility of evaluation of the object in different planes.\textsuperscript{18} This technique can give unique information of the anterior palatal region, like diameter and morphology of nasopalatine canal, thickness of buccal and palatal bone plate. The results of this study illustrated a significant relationship between patients’ dental status and buccal bone thickness that buccal bone thickness was reduced in edentulous patients.

This can compromise not only the placement of implant in this area, but also the patient's beauty. Similar results were also found in the study done by Fernández-Alonso et al.\textsuperscript{11} In addition, there was a significant relationship between the buccal bone thickness and the nasopalatine canal size so that, in the majority of cases, canal size was increased with a reduction in the diameter of the buccal bone plate.

In regarding to dental status, the periodontal condition of remaining anterior teeth must be evaluated when the bone level and dimension are measured.\textsuperscript{19, 20} The present study showed that there was a relationship between periodontal status (bone loss) and nasopalatine canal size.

Moreover, the results demonstrated that there was a relationship between two above variables in the hourglass shape between central and lateral teeth in left and right sides, and in the cylindrical shape between left and right lateral incisors so that the canal size was enhanced with the increase of the bone loss level. This result was similar to the previous result of the relationship between the reduction in buccal bone thickness and an increase in the canal size. The justifiable explanation in this case can be due to the fact that with either aging or periodontal disease, the bone loss starts from the coronal parts of the ridge and this phenomenon causes bone resorption in this region that requires further investigation and assessment of the factors in this field.

By studying all these parameters, it is concluded that the present study examined the anatomical variation and prevalence of accessory canals in the anterior maxilla and showed that these variations were significant in terms of size and morphology. This can reflect the importance of three-dimensional images and evaluation of anatomical landmarks for implantation or the probability of presence of the pathologic lesions.

Conclusion

According to the results of the present study, the prevalence of accessory canals in the anterior maxilla was relatively significant and many different anatomical variations were observed for the nasopalatine canal. Canal shape, diameter and location of them are all important variables that should be considered during placing implants, for this reason, preoperative CBCT study of this region seems necessary.
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