Evaluation of the effect of handheld mobile phone use on activity of the parotid glands amylase enzyme
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Abstract

Introduction: Alpha amylase is the most abundant enzyme of parotid gland. This enzyme starts digestion of carbohydrates in the mouth. A pair of parotid glands is located in front of ears. Several studies have been conducted on the effects of mobile phones on the parotid gland. The aim of this study was to investigate the effects of mobile phones on the activity of the amylase enzyme.

Materials & Methods: Totally, 251 men and women aged 18 to 55 years, who only used Hamrahe Aval’s operator were included. Parotid salivary samples of each individual were bilaterally collected using capillary tube placed in the vicinity of Stensen’s duct. Bilateral amylase activity of each individual was evaluated with spectrophotometric method in the laboratory considering dominant sides of phone conversation and chewing. Data were analyzed using Two-way ANOVA, χ2, T test and SPSS software.

Results: The relationship between the dominant phone conversation side and parotid amylase activity was not statistically significant but the correlation between prevailing chewing side and amylase activity was statistically significant (p=0.001).

Conclusion: Handheld mobile phone was not effective on parotid amylase enzyme activity whereas chewing was effective on parotid amylase enzyme activity.
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component are very important for the secretion of saliva. They are stimulated by autonomic nervous system. Salivary secretion is almost completely controlled by nervous system.\(^7\)

Alpha-amylase is a digestive enzyme. It breaks high molecular weight polysaccharides such as starch and glycogen and converts them to smaller molecules, glucose and maltose.\(^8\) Salivary alpha-amylase level is not related to blood alpha amylase levels derived from pancreas.\(^9\)

Alpha-amylase production in salivary glands occurs in response to physical and psychological stress under the control of autonomic nervous system.\(^10\) Alpha-amylase levels are used as a bio-marker of autonomic nervous system activity in different areas of biological research.\(^11\) Since amylase converts starch into glucose and maltose, it plays an important role in increasing diet glucose levels. Several studies are performed on the use of salivary amylase as a measure of autonomic nervous system activity,\(^12\text{-}15\) objective assessment of pain,\(^16\)\(^17\) and the treatment of type 2 diabetes.\(^17\)

Salivary amylase level is related to inheritance and environmental factors. It varies among individuals.\(^8\) According to the obtained information in studies investigated the effect of mobile phones on the salivary glands, the role of chewing as a confounding factor is not taken into account\(^18\text{-}24\) and therefor the results of these studies cannot be attributed to mobile phones. This study is performed to evaluate the effect of mobile phones on amylase activity considering chewing effect. If it is approved that mobile phone is effective on amylase activity, further studies can be performed on the long-term impact of these findings on quality of life.

**Materials&Methods**

Before the start of main project that aimed at investigating the effects of mobile phones on the parotid gland secretion, a pilot study was conducted to determine the primary relationship between the amylase activity of both sides and the dominant chewing side in individuals with no or limited use of mobile phones. In this pilot study, a total of 50 participants including 35 children and adolescents aged 6-12 years, who did not use cell phones (Group I) and 15 individuals aged 41-61 years (Group II) who had limited use (less than 5 minutes a day) were selected.\(^21\) All subjects were systematically healthy (28 females and 22 males). In the first group, 18 persons used right side, 15 used left side and 2 used both sides to chew. In the second group 10 people mostly chewed from the right and 5 chose left to chew. The parotid salivary samples were collected by the method that will be mentioned in the main plan and amylase activity was measured. Inclusion criteria in this study are that all females and males aged 20 to 60 years who used mobile phones (only Hamrahe Aval operator) with no systemic problem. People who had the exclusion criteria were removed from the plan. Exclusion criteria include: 1) use of any drug or medication that can affect the salivary glands and is capable of reducing or increasing the flow rate of saliva (such as antihypertensive drugs, antidepressants, or drugs that affect the digestive system, or those that cause xerostomia) 2) Heavy smokers (20 or more cigarettes daily)\(^25\) and alcoholics.\(^3\)

3) Conditions affecting salivary glands such as connective tissue disease (Sjogren's disease, RA., Systemic chronic diseases, history of trauma to the head and neck, pregnancy, anemia) 4) Absence of dominant side of phone conversation 5) Use of hands-free and similar tools\(^24\) 6) Use of any dental appliances such as orthodontic wires, complete and partial dentures, etc.\(^3\) 7) periodontitis\(^26\) 8)active decay 9) BMI higher than 30 10) Any oral complains with clinical signs and symptoms 11) Use of any operator other than Hamrahe Aval (Hamrahe Aval is the only operator used by individuals included in this study) In the original plan, 251 people had inclusion criteria and were entered into the study. This study was approved by the ethics committee of Babol University of Medical Sciences with code number of 4063.

The written consent form was obtained from individuals and they were invited two hours after breakfast between 9-12 a.m. to collect the saliva samples. One hour before referral participants had to refrain from eating and drinking other than water and did not smoke. They were asked to wash their mouth with water and relax on dental unit with adequate light. Stensen’s duct area was wiped with dry sterile gauze. Thin glass capillary tubes (laboratory micro hematocrit tube) which were open on both sides and is capable of pulling up one tenth milliliter of saliva through the capillary rise are placed in the vicinity of the channel (figure 1).

Slow squeeze of parotid gland for several times usually causes the withdrawal of saliva from the glands and its inclusion into the tube. After reaching sufficient volume (half pipe), the other end was blocked with a
special paste to prevent the outflow of saliva. This process is repeated for the gland of other side. Each person was assigned a number. The tube containing the saliva of each side was attached on the paper marked in terms of patient’s number and their left and right side. The tubes containing saliva can be refrigerated until shipment to the laboratory. Age, sex, dominant side used during chewing and phone conversation, duration of daily calls and use of mobile phone were recorded. To increase the accuracy of recording the dominant side, a chewing gum was given to patient without informing them about the goal, they were asked to chew. All observations and oral examinations were recorded.

In the laboratory, in order to exclude deteriorated cells and other substances that were likely to cause darkening of samples in the auto-analyzer machine they were centrifuged for 5 minutes by micro hematocrit. Transparent samples were diluted 200 times with normal saline.

In the laboratory, amylase activity was measured using Pars amylase test kit (Pars test, Tehran, Iran) by auto-analyzer units (U/ml). Data were divided into three different groups. The first group consisted of people who had an average of 30 minutes or more of mobile phone, phone conversation per day and mostly used their right ear and had mobile phones for at least 7 years.\(^6\) The second group was similar to first group but instead of right ear they mostly use their left ear during phone conversation.

The third group consisted of individuals who did not use mobile phones or used it very less (an average of 10 minutes daily call and from zero to 10 years have a mobile phone). Since the speech and chewing sides may not match, in each group it was tried to approximately maintain the equal or close number of individuals who used the same side for chewing and speech with those who did not use similar side for these purposes in order to statistically examine the effects of the bite on amylase secretion.

Data were analyzed using SPSS software, X2, TTCT, two-way analysis of variance and correlation coefficients and p<0.05 was statistically considered significant.

### Results

Totally, 251 individuals participated in this study. Out of these, 143 persons (57%) mostly used right side and 108 persons (43%) mostly used left side during phone conversation. Among them, 129 participants were dominant right chewers (4.51%) and 122 of them were dominant left chewers (6.48%). Average amylase activity of right and left parotid glands in individuals dominantly using right or left side to speak and in dominant left and right chewers is shown in table 1.

Table 1. Average amylase activity of right and left parotid gland on chewing and phone conversation side

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average of amylase activity (U/L)</th>
<th>N(%)</th>
<th>Chewing side</th>
<th>Phone side</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>63843.86</td>
<td>70(27.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>124520.55</td>
<td>73(29.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47736.71</td>
<td>96215.25</td>
<td>57769.15</td>
<td>59(23.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42795.92</td>
<td>49(19.5)</td>
<td>103832.65</td>
<td>Left</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was no significant correlation between the phone conversation side and amylase activity on right side (p=0.410). While the relationship between chewing side and amylase activity was statistically significant on right side (p=0.001), the correlation between phone conversation side and amylase activity was not statistically significant on left side (p=0.092). There was a statistically significant correlation between chewing side and amylase activity on left side (p <0.001). The relationship between amylase activity and duration of daily mobile use, long years of use, age, and sex was not significant (p>0.05).

Figure 2 shows mean difference of amylase activity of right and left side with respect to chewing and phone conversation side. (Similar letters in the figure express absence of significant difference)
Figure 2. Mean difference of amylase activity on right and left side with respect to chewing and phone conversation side

Preliminary results: In the current study, 28 individuals often used right side to chew (right chewer) and 20 of them most often chose left side to chew (left chewer). Mean amylase activity of right chewers on right side was $38141\pm27901$ Unit per Liter and for left chewers was $51325\pm60954$ Unit per Liter ($p<0.001$). Average amylase activity was $39857\pm52995$ Unit per Liter for left chewers on right side and it was $22344\pm25464$ Unit per Liter on left side ($p<0.001$). There was a significant relation between dominant chewing side and amylase activity ($p<0.001$). Results showed that on dominant chewing side, parotid gland amylase activity was decreased. [21]

Discussion

The results of this study showed that unlike the dominant chewing side, the prevailing phone conversation side had no impact on activity of parotid gland amylase. Hashemipour et al. studied on 86 individuals and they concluded that when the right side was the dominant phone conversation side it caused an increase in salivary flow rate and protein concentration level and reduction in amylase, lipase, lysozyme, lactoferrin and peroxidase. In individuals dominantly using left side to speak, salivary flow rate is equal on both sides. [6] The result of this study was similar to Hashemipour et al.’s findings. They had same flaws as they did not consider chewing factor and also could not explain cause for impact of mobile phone only on the right side and not on the left side. [6] Physical and psychological stress can affect the secretion of amylase. Chewing is an important physiological stress in secretion of saliva.

Goldwein et al. on evaluation of 50 healthy volunteers concluded that any flow on right side of individuals who dominantly used right side during phone conversation was more than the other side and in dominant left side users equal flow rate was observed on both sides. [1] The result of this study was similar to Hashemipour et al.’s findings. They had same flaws as they did not consider chewing factor and also could not explain cause for impact of mobile phone only on the right side and not on the left side. [6] Physical and psychological stress can affect the secretion of amylase. Chewing is an important physiological stress in secretion of saliva.

Arhakis et al in their study concluded that the amylase level in stimulated saliva (chewing sugar-free gum) is 1.5 times more that its level at rest, while salivary flow increases 6.3 times. [26] The most interesting fact was that among all individuals, mobile users and non-mobile users, the amylase activity is not equal on both sides and often had 2 to 3 times difference with the other side.
Physiologically and anatomically, the parotid glands of a healthy person should have approximately equal amount of secretion on both sides. It seems that the difference in salivary flow of both sides is the main cause of difference in amylase activity. After collecting 50 salivary samples, the dominant chewing side could be determined. This observation strengthened the hypothesis. Further study in this area is recommended.

In all studies, fluctuation in salivary flow rate was simultaneous with change in salivary amylase concentration. The exact cause of change in amylase concentration is unknown. The most likely mechanism seems to be that chewing stimulates parotid flow through mechanical receptors located in periodontal ligament, gingival tissues and tongue. The mechanism of protein secretion controlled by the nervous system is not exactly clear. Sympathetic and parasympathetic systems are respectively in charge of secretion of salivary proteins and salivary flow. During salivary reflexes, both mechanisms play important roles. Parasympathetic system leads to an increase in flow rate. It also has a stimulating effect on the secretion of non-storage granules. Consequently, it causes an increase in secretion and synthesis of proteins. But high levels of water output neutralize increased protein synthesis.

Enlargement and increased gland activity on dominant chewing side are probably another mechanism that can increase salivary flow on that side. In short, due to increased muscle movement on dominant chewing side, parotid gland saliva is more affected by mechanical stimulations on that side and causes increased salivary flow on the same side. In addition, parotid gland duct cells are responsible for the reabsorption of saliva into circulation. On dominant chewing side, these cells have no opportunity to reabsorb water. As a result thinner saliva is released in the oral cavity. In contrary, more chance of reabsorption provides thicker saliva on the other side.

**Conclusion**

Handheld mobile phone was not effective on parotid amylase enzyme activity whereas chewing was effective on parotid amylase enzyme activity.
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