Indications & predisposing factors of crown lengthening surgery
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Abstract

Introduction: Since crown lengthening surgery could be accompanied by stress, pain and discomfort, knowledge about its predisposing factors could reduce the demands for such surgery. The aim of this study was to identify the most important indications of crown lengthening surgery in order to present new ideas to clinicians on how to reduce the need for this surgery.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was done on 470 patients (aged 12-89 years) referred for crown lengthening surgery. The patients’ demographic data and their reasons for surgery, the teeth restoration condition and its type, condition of the opposite tooth, type of fractured cusp (posterior teeth), root canal therapy condition and quality, and size of existing intracanal posts were recorded in a data sheet. Data were analyzed by using SPSS software. The chi-square and fisher exact test were used for statistical analysis. The significant difference was p<0.05.

Results: The most frequent indication in men and women was dental caries followed by tooth fracture. The second upper premolars and first lower molars needed crown lengthening surgery more often, respectively.

Conclusions: Since dental caries and fracture are the most important factors that predispose teeth to crown lengthening surgery, controlling caries with a regular recall sequence can reduce the need for such surgery, especially in the elderly.
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چکیده
مقدمه: از پیشگیری چرایی افزایش طول تاج می‌توان برای بهبود همرأه با استرس، درد و تاثیری بالا، شناسایی موارد منجر به این چرایی می‌تواند سبب کاهش نازی به انجام چرایی طول تاج باشد. هدف از انجام این مطالعه، شناسایی میزان نازی به انجام چرایی طول تاج می‌باشد. استفاده از سخت‌کشی کاهش نازی به انجام چرایی طول تاج با چکش‌گویی کلیسی‌ها قرار داده‌می‌شود.
مواد و روش‌ها: این مطالعه به صورت متقابل و بر روی 77 بیمار (12-38 سال) انجام داده شده برای جراحی طول تاج انجام گرفت. فرم اطلاعاتی تئیزم شده برای اجرای چهارمین زمان انجام داده شد. شماره دندان مورد نظر، علت نازی به جراحی، وضعیت تریم دندان و نوع آن، شرایط دندان متقابل کاسی شکسته‌های مورد دندان‌های دختری، وضعیت اپی‌نبی و پری‌نبی در داخل و خارج fisher's و Chi – square استفاده گردید. p<0.05 تیز به عنوان اختلاف معنی‌دار در نظر گرفته شد.
نتیجه‌گیری: میزان نازی به انجام چرایی طول تاج به‌صورت پرورنده حاصل شد. به دلیل موارد جراحی طول تاج می‌باشد. به‌نوعی می‌توانند کانال بی‌گردد. داده‌ها نشان نمایانگر گردید که با استفاده از SPSS داده‌ها از تستان‌های داده‌های داده با استفاده از exact به‌صورت پرورنده حاصل شد.

اندیکاسیون‌ها و فاکتورهای مستعدگانده جراحی افزایش طول تاج

Introduction
The aim of restorative dentistry is to maintain the health and function of the dental system alongside providing dental beauty. Therefore, all dental restorations should be performed with respect to maintaining the health and physiology of the periodontium. Some conditions such as caries, fractures and subgingival extension of previous restorations could necessitate subgingival placement of restoration margins that could in turn threaten periodontal health.\(^1,2\)

Biologic width is defined as a volume of soft tissue that is connected to a tooth above the alveolar bone crest and its length is approximately 2.04 mm.\(^3,4\) Clinical studies showed that the extension of restorative margin to this zone could cause gingival inflammation and resorption of the crestal alveolar bone.\(^5,7\) This is particularly important when the intact tooth margin is located in close proximity to the crestal alveolar bone due to complications such as caries, fractures, or coronal root perforations. Therefore, in order to maintain the health of the periodontium which is threatened by marginal extension of restorations during restorative procedures, crown lengthening surgery is indicated.\(^8\) Since crown lengthening surgery could be accompanied by stress, pain and discomfort, knowledge about its predisposing factors could reduce the demands for such surgery.

In many cases, the improvement of restorative methods could reduce the need for replacement of restorations that would most often necessitate crown lengthening surgery. This study aimed to identify the most important indications of crown lengthening surgery in order to present new ideas to clinicians on how to reduce the need for this surgery.
Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted on adults referred to periodontist for crown lengthening surgery in Fajr and Naft Dental Clinics in Shiraz, southern Iran, from April 2012 to December 2012.

The research proposal was reviewed and approved by the research ethic committee of the dental school, international branch, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences. 470 patients were included in the study after clinical examination for confirmation of the possibility of maintaining the tooth via crown lengthening surgery. The exclusion criteria were as follows: low possibilities for endodontic and restorative treatments, probability of furcation involvement during surgery, threatening of dental aesthetics at the smile line, no strategic value for the tooth in future treatment plan, substantial damage to bone support of adjacent teeth upon surgery and inadequate remaining root structure for supporting future prosthesis regarding crown/root ratio.\(^9\)

The patients' demographic data as well as data regarding the indication of surgery, the teeth restorative condition and its type, condition of the opposite tooth, scheme of fractured cusp (posterior teeth), root canal therapy condition and quality and the size of existing intracanal posts were recorded in a data sheet. The data regarding whether the tooth needed surgery for several reasons or several fractured cusps were also recorded. The indications for crown lengthening surgery were classified in eight general categories\(^10\): excessive gingival display, subgingivally extended restorations, inadequate restorative retention, crown fracture with subgingival extension, caries with subgingival extension, subgingival perforations of crown/root, short clinical crown, and other indications.

Excessive gingival display was defined as the increase of fibrotic gingival volume or lack of apical gingival migration to the cementoenamel junction (CEJ) which necessitated the apical relocation of the gingival margin. Subgingival restoration was implied when the tooth had been filled with restorative material but it scheduled to be restored with full crown and then it needed surgery to provide a ferrule effect.

Inadequate retention group consisted of teeth with full crown but inadequate preparation that needed relocation of finishing line. Subgingival caries and fracture as well as subgingival perforation consisted of cases who were candidate for full crown when the healthy tooth margin distance to the bone was less that 4 mm and so biologic width violation was likely. Short clinical crown consisted of teeth with inadequate space for construction of crowns. Those conditions were because of improper previous preparation of tooth or owing to the closure of the interocclusal space due to attrition, caries or fracture, or because of providing no adequate space to the bone crest by the finishing margin of a healthy prepared tooth.

Data were analyzed by using SPSS (version 20) software. The chi-square and fisher exact test were used for statistical analysis. The significant difference was \(p<0.05\).

Results

176 and 294 of 470 patients participated in this study were men and women with a mean±SD age of 38.43±14.16 years (range: 12-89 years), respectively. Considering that more than one tooth of some patients needed surgery, 504 teeth were ultimately included in our study. 292 (57.9%) were maxillary teeth and the rest were mandibular teeth.

The second upper premolars and first lower molars needed crown lengthening surgery more often, respectively. The third upper molars and lower incisors needed surgery least often, respectively. Moreover, among the various teeth types, upper premolars and lower molars needed the most surgeries.

Based on the obtained data, the indications for crown lengthening surgery were reclassified into six categories. Accordingly, the most frequent indications in men and women were dental caries followed by tooth fracture. Other frequent indications included excessive gingival display, subgingival restoration, and short clinical crown, respectively.

There was a significant difference between the men and women with respect to excessive gingival display and caries (\(p<0.001\)), but there were no statistically significant differences in other indications. Among included teeth, 42 teeth needed surgery due to two above-mentioned reasons (table1). In the next step, the prevalence of the most frequent indications (fracture and caries) was assessed in 3 different age groups (<30, 30-50, >50 year).\(^{11}\) Caries was most prevalent in all age groups, especially among patients who were more than 50 years old with the prevalence of twice as much as dental fracture.
Table 1. Frequency (%) of the various indications for crown lengthening surgery based on sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Men N(%)</th>
<th>Women N(%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excessive gingival display</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>36(100%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subgingival restoration</td>
<td>9(37.5%)</td>
<td>15(62.5%)</td>
<td>0.768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate restoration attachment</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>3(100%)</td>
<td>0.177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture</td>
<td>70(35.7%)</td>
<td>126(64.3%)</td>
<td>0.463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caries</td>
<td>120(44.6%)</td>
<td>149(55.4%)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short clinical crown</td>
<td>5(27.8%)</td>
<td>13(72.2%)</td>
<td>0.376</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patient who were 30-50 years old needed surgery more than others, followed by those who were less than 30 years old. Moreover, excessive gingival display had the least mean±SD age (16±6.19 years) and subgingival restoration and short clinical crown had the highest mean±SD age (46.91±10.9 and 44.28±11.32 years). It was found that the highest rate of fracture was related to the teeth restored with amalgam compared to the teeth receiving other restorations (p<0.001), followed by composite resins, no restored teeth, and full crown. Moreover, in all cases except teeth restored with amalgam, the most prevalent indication for crown lengthening surgery was not fracture (p<0.001)(table 2).

Table 2. The relationship between different restorations and dental caries (numbers are presented as frequency [%])

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Caries Restoration</th>
<th>Yes N(%)</th>
<th>No N(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>117(71.1%)</td>
<td>72(28.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amalgam</td>
<td>53(26.4%)</td>
<td>148(73.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite</td>
<td>8(50%)</td>
<td>8(50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crown</td>
<td>31(81.6%)</td>
<td>7(18.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The highest rate of fracture was seen in teeth with Distoocclusal(DO) (59 [80.8%]) and Mesioocclusodistal (MOD)(73 [68.2%]) restorations (p=0.061) compared with those with Mesioocclusal (MO) restorations (21 [56.8%]). In cases with involvement of buccal or lingual surfaces other than mesial and/or distal surfaces, categorization was done based on mesial and distal surfaces. A significant relationship was not found between tooth fracture and post length (P=0.108) or thickness (P=0.064).

However, the type of post affected teeth fracture so that the indication for surgery in all cases with casting posts was fracture. Yet, teeth fracture did not differ from other indications in prefabricated posts. However, the fracture was seen in one third of cases using amalgam pin.

It was found that teeth receiving endodontic treatment experienced fracture more than non-endodontically treated teeth (p=0.001); however, the quality of treatment did not significantly affect teeth fracture with respect to the density of the root canal filling material (p=0.82). Furthermore, there was no significant relationship between the existence of periapical lesion and fracture (table 3).

Table 3. The relationship between endodontic treatment and dental fracture (numbers are presented as frequency [%])

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fracture</th>
<th>Yes N(%)</th>
<th>No N(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Root treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>61(22.8%)</td>
<td>207(77.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>102(57.6%)</td>
<td>75(42.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete</td>
<td>33(55.9%)</td>
<td>26(44.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finally, there was no significant relationship between the type of restoration in opposite teeth and rate of fracture. Opposite teeth were categorized into six groups (nonrestored, filled, crown, removable denture, implant, and no teeth). There was a significant relationship between different restorations and rate of caries. Dental caries was more prevalent in teeth with full crown than intact teeth and teeth filled with composite and amalgam, respectively.

Discussion

The present study aimed to assess the most important indications for crown lengthening surgery. It is found that the deep subgingival caries and crown fracture extending subgingivally were the most important indications for crown lengthening, respectively. Deep subgingival caries can be caused by delay in detecting caries due to the patients’ lack of knowledge about the necessity of treatment, lack of...
periodic check-ups, financial problems, or fear of
dental treatments. Crown fracture as the second most
important indication for crown lengthening also
emphasizes the need for following emergency
situations on the patients’ behalf. Moreover, improper
restorative treatment planning especially for
endodontically treated teeth could also predispose the
tooth to fracture and so intensify the need for crown
lengthening.

In this study, crown lengthening for aesthetic
reasons was indicated only in the <30 year-old age
group which seemed logical considering the youth’s
beauty-seeking sensations. Supra-eruption, severe
coronal destruction and inadequate inter-occlusal space
could lead to short clinical crowns and inadequate
retention of restoration were seen more frequently in
older patients.

These patients may also have shorter crowns over
time or after detachment of previous crowns, without
experiencing crown fracture or developing new caries.
In individuals who were over 50 years of age, dental
caries was the most important reason for crown
lengthening surgery which was also twice the rate of
the other factors. However, there was no considerable
difference in younger age groups.

This can be attributed to the fact that in elders,
teeth are harder and more resistant to fracture because
dentinal sclerosis. Moreover, the amount of dental
caries increases because of more restorations, crowns
and root exposure due to gingival recession. [12,13]

The prevalence of caries was higher in teeth
restored with crown, intact teeth, composite resins and
amalgam filled teeth, respectively. It can be attributed
to strengthen the teeth structure and reduce fracture
ratio. Moreover, the recurrence of caries under crowns
is higher because of the inaccessibility for cleaning the
teeth and the inability of the dentist to check the
recurrent caries. [14-18]

The second upper premolar and first lower molar
needed crown lengthening surgery more than others
and upper wisdom teeth and lower incisors needed it
less than others. It can be related to the distal
orientation of upper wisdom teeth which limit their
strategic value in prosthetic treatment plans so they are
preferably extracted rather than restored. [19-22]

Lower incisors are also less prone to caries or
fracture because of their easy accessibility for
cleansing, continuous secretion of saliva around them,
small surface, and lower stress. [23] The second upper
premolar has symmetrical shape but it has no furcation
and less vital role in dental aesthetics compared with
other maxillary teeth; therefore, it has fewer limitations
for being maintained via crown lengthening surgery
compared with extraction.

The likelihood of dental caries and restoration is
higher in first lower molar perhaps because of its early
eruption to the oral cavity that prolongs its contact with
deleterious agents. [24] Moreover, considering its
strategic situation in prosthetic treatment plans,
 preserving of this tooth has a high priority.

Since the focus of this study was mainly on teeth
that had the chance to be maintained by crown
lengthening surgery, the teeth, which were scheduled
for extraction, were not statistically analyzed.
Therefore, it cannot necessarily be concluded that the
above-mentioned teeth have the highest risk of fracture
and/or caries; because other teeth might not have
enough efficiency to be maintained by considering
these complications.

Comparing fractured teeth with different
restorations, the most fracture prevalence was seen in
teeth restored with amalgam and composite, followed
by intact teeth and teeth restored with crown. The
effect of these restorations can be attributed to the
impact of these treatment modalities on strengthening
or weakening the remaining tooth structure. [25,26]
With respect to the extension of restorations,
Mesioocclusodistal (MOD) and Distooclusal (DO)
restorations exhibit more fractures compared with
Mesioocclusal (MO) restorations. [27] Considering the
mandibular joint model which is a third-class lever,
maintaining the distal marginal ridge seems critical to
maintain teeth integrity against joint forces.

There were no significant differences with respect
to the type of restoration of the opposite teeth and its
effect on tooth fracture. It seems that teeth fracture
occurs as a result of accumulating minor stresses over a
long period of time which is considered as the teeth’s
fatigue strength. [28]

Based on previous studies, even if the force is
excruciated by the crown or implant, it can only
shorten the needed duration for tooth fracture without
affecting its amount. In such cases, the minimum
contact on the prosthesis is considered to reduce forces.

The most frequent fractured cusp in upper
posterior teeth was the palatal cusp which was
indicates & predisposing factors of crown lengthening
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Conclusions
Since dental caries and fracture were the most predisposing factors for crown lengthening surgery, controlling caries with a regular recall sequence could reduce the need for such surgery, especially in the elderly. Considering a suitable restorative treatment plan for endodontically treated teeth (such as cusp coverage) or restoration with crowns can help to reduce the need for crown lengthening surgery especially when the distal marginal ridge of teeth has been lost.

Moreover, using custom-made posts can solve many of these complications. It should be emphasized that the findings of this study were about the teeth that could be maintained with crown lengthening surgery.

More extensive studies can be conducted considering each of indicative factors in both groups of teeth (including maintainable & non-maintainable).
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